- 1 Q Please turn to Exhibit 19.
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Exhibit 19 is a February 12, 1991, letter to you
- 4 from Michael Riley. Is that true?
- 5 A Yes, that is.
- 6 JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me identify it. It's a one
- 7 page letter, and as described by Ms. Lancaster, it's
- 8 identified as Enforcement Bureau Exhibit 19.
- 9 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 10 Q Did you have any conversations with Mr. Riley to
- 11 the effect that you couldn't give Metro Mobile an interest
- in the partnership itself because there might be a transfer
- of control problem?
- 14 A No. This was just he returned -- I mean, at least
- 15 that's what I recall. I don't recall any conversation.
- 16 Q So not at any time subsequent to the signing of
- 17 the five percent option agreement did you have a discussion
- 18 with anyone at Metro Mobile, if not Mr. Riley someone else,
- 19 saying, "oh, we can't give you five percent of the
- 20 partnership because we might have a transfer of control
- 21 problem"?
- 22 A I don't know about that.
- 23 Q An ownership problem?
- 24 A I don't think that there was a subsequent
- 25 conversation subsequent to the agreement being signed about

- 1 that option.
- 2 Q Would you have been the only person that would
- 3 have handled this matter?
- 4 A No. I mean, it was the executive committee was
- 5 working on this, and we were also in touch with the counsel
- on this. But I would say that I was a main person.
- 7 Q Well, when the different members of the executive
- 8 committee are contacting other parties on behalf of Alee,
- 9 would you have discussions among the executive committee
- 10 members as to what was discussed and when the discussions
- 11 took place, that sort of thing?
- 12 A Usually, yes.
- 13 Q So were you ever told of any other discussions
- 14 with anyone from Metro Mobile?
- 15 **A** You're talking about the option agreement?
- 17 A I'm unaware of any other conversations with anyone
- on the executive committee.
- 19 Q So you would have been the person who would have
- 20 negotiated the option agreement with Metro Mobile?
- 21 A I don't know that I negotiated. I worked on
- documentation and -- in other words, I would get drafts of
- it, go with -- I don't remember whether it was Neil
- 24 Goldberg. I think it was, but the terms that they would
- build out and that they would get a five percent option, T

- don't know that I specifically negotiated that as opposed to
- 2 Terry or Becky Jo.
- I know that it was discussed that the partnership,
- 4 that was something under consideration. We got approval
- 5 from them.
- 6 Q Did you ever have any discussions within the
- 7 executive committee and/or the partnership regarding
- 8 offering an additional option, ownership option, to any of
- 9 the management companies?
- 10 A I don't recall. I mean, there may have been. I
- don't recall off hand.
- 12 Q Well, did this option agreement, was it assigned
- 13 also to Bell Atlantic when Bell Atlantic took over Metro
- 14 Mobile's management contract?
- 15 A My initial impression was that it was.
- 16 0 In fact, you answered at deposition that it was,
- 17 didn't you?
- 18 A I believe that I did.
- 19 Q In fact, you answered at deposition that it's
- 20 still in effect, didn't you?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, let's nut get into
- 22 deposition testimony unless his testimony here is different.
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: But the answer was, Mr.
- 25 Bernstein said his initial impression was that the

- management agreement, I guess in my words, was assumed by
- Bell Atlantic or transferred to Bell Atlantic?
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: What words would you use?
- 5 THE WITNESS: Yes, that it was transferred to Bell
- 6 Atlantic.
- 7 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 9 over?
- 10 A When you say assumed, did Altell assume that
- 11 they -- is that what you're asking me?
- 12 Q No. Was it assigned? Is the same option
- 13 agreement still in effect with Altell?
- 14 A It was my impression that it wasn't, but I'm not
- 15 certain in reading the documents. Metro Mobile and Bell
- 16 Atlantic built out the New Mexico system. Altell had
- 17 nothing to do with building out the system.
- 18 Q Is this a change in your testimony from what you
- 19 testified to at deposition?
- 20 A I don't recall specifically what I said at the
- 21 deposition. As I say, I'm not certain as to whether they
- 22 have an assignment of the option.
- 23 Q One moment. That you assumed originally it Would
- and you've evidently changed your mind?
- 25 A I've been --

- JUDGE STEINBERG: Clarify that by saying was it,
- 2 and that it would, and --
- 3 MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Please.
- 5 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 6 Q I believe when I asked you whether the option was
- 7 in effect with Bell Atlantic and then subsequently I asked
- 8 you if it was still in effect, you prefaced your remark by
- 9 you initially thought that it was which indicated you have
- 10 since changed your mind. Is that a correct re-statement
- 11 of --
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q -- of your testimony?
- 14 A I have since questioned it, yes.
- 15 Q Well, what do you mean questioned it?
- 16 A I have re-read, and re-read, and re-read that
- option agreement, and when in re-reading it, it talks about
- 18 it's not being assignable to anyone other than Metro Mobile
- or its affiliates or subsidiary which I had not focused on
- 20 or remembered during our deposition. So I question that
- 21 whether the option agreement -- not the management or the
- 22 switch sharing, but the option agreement. I'm not certain.
- 23 O I want to show you another document that I believe
- 24 I've already marked. Perhaps not. Hold on one second. I'd
- like to show you what has been marked for identification as

- 1 Intervenor's Exhibit 6 and ask if you recognize that
- 2 document.
- 3 A (Reviewing document.)
- 5 A It sounds very familiar.
- 6 Q Did you write it?
- 7 A I think that I did.
- 8 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, if Intervenor's
- 9 Exhibit has not already been --
- 10 JUDGE STEINBERG: If it has not.
- 11 MS. LANCASTER: -- received, with your permission
- 12 I would ask that it be received.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Any objection?
- 14 MR, HILL: Well, I'm troubled a little bit. This
- 15 was put in front of him. He says he recognizes it, and then
- it's just asked to be moved into evidence.
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Did this come from Mr.
- 18 Bernstein's files?
- MR. HILL: I don't know, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you know?
- MR. EVANS: It was produced in discovery.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: From the books and records of
- 23 Alee?
- MR. EVANS: Of Alee. I don't know whether it was
- 25 Bernstein's right now.

MS.	LANCASTER:	Your	Honor.	T	believe	MΥ.
	T1 H1 C1 10 T T1 C	TOUL	1101101		DCTTCVC	· · ·

- 2 Bernstein just testified that he believes he did write it.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Did you? Is that what you said?
- 4 THE WITNESS: I believe so. I'm not sure, but I
- 5 believe so.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. I'll receive the exhibit.
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: I quess if the intervenor's can
- 8 offer into evidence the bureau's exhibits, then the bureau
- 9 can offer into evidence the intervenor's exhibits.
- 10 MS, LANCASTER: I'm about to try another one.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: This is the first time I have
- 12 ever see this.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{Q}}$ Mr. Bernstein, would you also look at what has
- previously been marked Intervenor's Exhibit 7.
- 16 A (Reviewing document.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let's go off the record for a
- 18 second.
- 19 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record. Let me just
- 21 formally say that Intervenor's Exhibit 6 is received.
- 22 (The document referred to,
- previously identified as
- Intervenor's Exhibit No. 6,
- was received in evidence.)

BY MS. LANCASTER:

- 3 A Yes, I have read it.
- 4 Q In fact, there was discussion about offering -
- 5 was it Bell Atlantic at that time?
- 6 A '94.
- 7 Q Was the manager?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q There was a discussion about offering Bell
- 10 Atlantic an additional equity ownership in Alee, wasn't
- 11 there?
- 12 A I quess there was. I don't know why I don't
- 13 remember this, but.
- 14 MS. LANCASTER: I would ask that Intervenor
- 15 Exhibit 7 also be received, Your Honor.
- 16 MR. HILL: I know it's getting late, but I didn't
- even hear the witness say he even recognized seven.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Neither did I.
- 19 MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- 20 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 21 O You wrote this, didn't you, Mr. Bernstein?
- 22 A I don't know. I can presume. It looks similar.
- 23 Q It's the same typeface as the exhibit I just
- showed you, Exhibit 6, isn't it?
- 25 A Yes, it is.

- Q When it talks in that particular memo, it talks about the other members of the executive committee, the
- 3 person who wrote it contacting them for a discussion,
- 4 doesn't it?
- 5 A Yes. Yes. Then I see it says we even had a
- 6 conference call with John Banks and Terry Jones, Becky Jo
- 7 Clark, and myself. So it would have been me.
- 8 0 Thank you.
- 9 MS. LANCASTER: I ask that it be received into
- 10 evidence, Your Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. Evans, it's your exhibit.
- 12 You don't have any objection again to this?
- MR. EVANS: I'm delighted that it's being offered.
- 14 MR. HILL: The same objection I made with respect
- to number 6.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Overruled. Intervenor's
- 17 Exhibit 7 is received.
- 18 (The document referred to,
- 19 previously identified as
- Intervenor's Exhibit No. 7,
- was received in evidence.)
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 23 Q So you were still talking back in 1994 about
- offering an equity ownership interest to the management
- 25 company?

- A Evidently so, yes.
- 2 Q Would you turn to Exhibit 20, please?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Do you recognize --
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: Why is that -- okay. Twenty was
- 6 rejected while you were out of the room yesterday.
- 7 MS. LANCASTER: I thought you said we could still
- 8 question about it, Your Honor, because there's litigation
- 9 pending.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, on an offer of proof
- 11 basis, and if you want --
- MR. HILL: And the bureau has completed its offer
- of proof the other day. I don't know at what point in time.
- 14 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- MR. HILL: Mr. DeJesus.
- 16 JUDGE STEINBERG: Mr. DeJesus did say -- I mean, I
- 17 did ask him if this ends the offer of proof and he answered
- 18 yes.
- 19 MS. LANCASTER: So even though there's litigation
- still pending about this, I'm not allowed to ask any
- 21 questions about it?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, the time to have asked the
- 23 questions was or the time to have made the -- Was when We
- 24 spoke about it yesterday.
- MR. DeJESUS: Your Honor, if I --

- 1 MS. LANCASTER: Am I allowed to ask him about the
- pending litigation?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. There were questions and
- 4 answers about that yesterday, about the pending litigation.
- MR. DeJESUS: Your Honor, when the Court asked me
- for the offer of proof basis, I thought it was with respect
- 7 to the witness that was being questioned which was Mr.
- 8 Jones. I never intended to bar any other witness from
- 9 addressing the issue.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, it's too late now because
- 11 I -- I mean, you had time to make the offer of proof, and
- there was no indication to me that there's going to be any
- examination of any other witnesses on that.
- 14 If you would like, you can prepare a typed up
- document saying offer of proof in the form of an offer of
- 16 proof and say if we were to ask these questions, this is the
- 17 information that we would have developed. And you can put
- 18 it in the form of an exhibit, and it will go forward as an
- 19 offer of proof. Maybe this was the deposition testimony on
- 20 this?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Maybe you can pull it from the
- deposition or put the pages in. I'll let you do that. If
- you want to pull together the pages of the deposition with
- 25 respect to this matter and mark them as an exhibit, as an

- offer of proof, then I'll -- we can mark it, and then I'll
- 2 reject it, and it'll go forward as an offer of proof.
- 3 MS. LANCASTER: Thank you.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Same thing with respect to any
- 5 other witnesses who we may have remaining.
- 6 MS. LANCASTER: Thank you.
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: Same thing for Mr. Evans if you
- 8 want to. Because you didn't ask anything yesterday.
- 9 MR. EVANS: Right. I think the solution that you
- proposed probably takes care of it, but I don't see how Mr.
- 11 DeJesus could have even made an offer of proof with respect
- 12 to Mr. Jones on information --
- 13 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, he could have said we've
- 14 got him -- we, I mean, at least notified him that we will
- 15 have questions of this nature. And if I remember Mr.
- 16 DeJesus' offer of proof was maybe one or two questions at
- 17 the most. It wasn't very extensive. But anyway, that's
- 18 what I'll let you do.
- 19 MS. LANCASTER: I'd like an exception on the
- 20 record to that ruling.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You don't need an exception on
- the record because anything you don't like you can appeal
- 23 anyway. You don't have to do that anymore.
- 24 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Mr. Bernstein, are you aware that there is pending

- 1 litigation regarding the risk sharing agreement?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 O How are you aware of that?
- 4 A I know that discussions with counsel. There have
- 5 been several discussions regarding it.
- 6 Q This is pending where?
- 7 A I believe in the D.C. Courts.
- 9 is whether or not the risk sharing agreement is still valid
- and binding upon the parties, is that correct?
- 11 A As I understand it, it's a declaratory judgment
- 12 that's being sought on that issue.
- 13 Q There's not been any ruling on that issue?
- 14 A Not to my knowledge.
- 15 Q The original parties to the risk sharing agreement
- are parties in the DC circuit proceeding?
- MR. HILL: If he knows.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, obviously.
- 19 MR. HILL: Yes, okay.
- THE WITNESS: I don't know whether all of them
- 21 are, but I know that yes, that there are a lot of parties
- that were in the original <u>Algreq</u> proceeding.
- BY MS, LANCASTER:
- 24 O Some of those parties are parties that were losers
- and were not awarded a license in the lottery when Alee got

- 1 its license, is that correct?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q It's my understanding that their position is that
- 4 they're entitled to participate in the earnings of the
- 5 licenses you won, is that correct?
- 6 A I understand that the licenses are sold. That
- 7 they wanted a portion of the sale proceeds that were gotten
- 8 from those licenses.
- 9 Q Do you have any idea what the current schedule of
- that litigation is or the status of that litigation?
- 11 A I think that there was, I want to say, a motion
- for summary judgment that's pending. I'm not sure.
- 13 Q You were a party originally. I mean, you signed,
- 14 you wrote it on behalf when you were -- strike that. You
- 15 voted to participate in the risk sharing agreement, didn't
- 16 you?
- 17 A Yes. I did.
- 18 Q Did everyone in Alee vote to participate?
- 19 A Yes, I believe so.
- 20 Q It's my understanding that you've also signed a
- 21 document entitled "Agreement to Rescind" the risk sharing
- 22 agreement, is that correct?
- 23 A Yes.
- Q Did everyone in Alee sign such a document?
- 25 A Yes.

- Q All of Alee's partner's is what I mean.
- 2 A Yes, to my knowledge, yes.
- MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, since I was not in the
- 4 room when the other exhibit was rejected, I'd like to know
- 5 if Exhibit 21 and --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes. They were rejected also,
- and they go forward as an offer of proof, 21 and 22.
- 8 MS. LANCASTER: 21 and 22?
- 9 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes.
- 10 MS. LANCASTER: Your Honor, has Exhibit 25 been
- 11 received?
- 12 JUDGE STEINBERG: Yes
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: I don't have 19 as being
- 15 offered.
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay. I would offer Exhibit 19 at
- 17 this point, Your Honor. I believe there's been testimony
- 18 from Mr. Bernstein identifying what this is.
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: Any objection?
- MR. HILL: No objection.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Bureau Exhibit 19 is received
- 22 (The document referred to,
- previously identified as EB
- 24 Exhibit No. 19, was received
- in evidence.)

- 1 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 2 Q Mr. Bernstein, were the partners polled with
- 3 regard to Alee's answers to the Enforcement Bureau discovery
- 4 request in this case?
- 5 MR. HILL: That's a very broad -- polled
- 6 concerning discovery requests.
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: Could you be more specific?
- 8 MS. LANCASTER: I don't think I need to be more
- 9 narrow. He would know if there's been any kind of a poll
- taken with the partners to get specific information.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: We 1, why don't you ask him
- 12 about him, whether he knows if he was.
- 13 MS. LANCASTER: Well he's on the executive
- 14 committee, so I'm assuming --
- 15 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, don't assume.
- 16 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- anyone with regard to a response to the Bureau's discovery
- 19 in this case?
- 20 A I hadn't recalled at the time of the deposition,
- but in speaking with Becky Jo, she said to me, "Bob, I spoke
- 22 with you about that." But I didn't have a specific
- 23 recollection.
- 24 Q So you've changed your testimony at this point
- from the time when you testified at deposition?

- A Yes, I have.
- 2 Q Which reminds me, did I leave a copy of the
- 3 deposition with you?
- 4 A Yes, you did.
- 5 Q Would you turn to page 176? The top of the page,
- 6 line one.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: What page was that, please?
- 8 MS. LANCASTER: 76.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you.
- 10 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 11 Q Do you recall our discussion earlier when I asked
- 12 you whether the five percent option was still in effect?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q I asked a similar question at the deposition on
- page 176. I asked, question, "is the five percent option
- 16 still viable to the current manager of the facility?"
- 17 Answer: "I would assume so because there was an
- 18 assignment taken. There had been three managers, so it was
- 19 then assigned to Bell Atlantic and then assigned to Altell,
- 20 and I know that we're currently operating under the same
- 21 management agreement dated 1990, so I would assume that this
- is still -- well, actually, after the Court of Appeals'
- decision, I don't know."
- 24 But aside from the Court of Appeals' decision,
- your assumption was that the option agreement had been

- assigned to each of the managers, is that correct?
- 2 A That was my assumption, yes, at the deposition.
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Of the deposition transcript?
- 5 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 6 Q Well, turn to page 254 of your deposition
- 7 transcript, please.
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Line 11, question: "My understanding is that Alee
- does not regularly conduct any due diligence corrects, is
- 11 that correct?"
- 12 Your response: "Not to my knowledge."
- Question: "Okay, you would know if they did
- 14 conduct a due diligence check, wouldn't you?"
- 15 Answer: "I would think I would. I don't. I
- 16 don't know of any."
- 17 Question: "Do you recall responding to
- interrogatories to Alee on behalf of Alee, FCC
- interrogatories in this case, on behalf of Alee?"
- 20 Answer: "I recall, yes, the interrogatories and
- 21 responses were submitted, yes."
- "Do you recall that the FCC interrogatories for
- 23 Alee asked if any of its partners, principals, or officers
- 24 had been convicted of a crime or plead nolo contendere, or
- 25 had a probation without judgment imposed? Do you recall

- 1 that?"
- 2 "Yes."
- 3 "It is my understanding that you did not ask each
- 4 of the partners before responding whether or not any of
- 5 those events had occurred before responding to the
- 6 interrogatories."
- 7 "Mr. Hill: By now 'you,' we're talking about Alee
- 8 or Mr. Bernstein?"
- 9 "Ms. Lancaster: I'm asking whether or not Mr.
- 10 Bernstein asked the partners his or her individual answer to
- that question before Mr. Bernstein signed the response to
- the interrogatories that were submitted."
- 13 "Witness: I didn't sign it."
- 14 "Ms. Lancaster: I thought you did."
- 15 Answer: "It was signed by Terry Jones."
- 16 Question: "As far as you know, did Mr. -- oh,
- didn't you respond on some of the documents, no?"
- 18 Answer: "No.
- 19 Question: "My mistake. Were you not polled by
- 20 Mr. Jones then and asked this question, is that correct?
- 21 Answer: "No."
- 22 Question: "As far as you know, did Mr. Jones ask
- any of the other partners for this information?"
- "I don't know."
- Did I read that correctly?

- A I believe so.
- 2 Q Were you polled before their responding, before
- 3 the answers were submitted?
- 4 A I was. As I said, I didn't remember. Becky Jo
- 5 had called.
- 6 Q And what was --
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Let me ask, were there any
- 8 questions at the deposition as to whether or not Ms. Clark
- 9 polled Mr. Bernstein?
- MS. LANCASTER: I don't know, Your Honor. We have
- 11 to look.
- 12 JUDGE STEINBERG: Because the questions and
- answers that you read, did you ask specifically whether Mr
- Jones -- okay, Mr. Jones signed the answers, correct?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes.
- MR. HILL: Correct.
- 17 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay, and the questions YOU
- 18 asked, you asked whether Mr. Jones -- unless you said Mr.
- Jones or anyone else which I don't remember. But anyway,
- that's just an observation.
- 21 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 22 Q What were you asked?
- A I don't recall the specifics of the conversation.
- 24 As I said, I haven't recalled
- JUDGE STEINBERG: When you say "what were you

- asked, "you are referring to what were you asked at the
- 2 deposition?
- MS. LANCASTER: No, sir. I was --
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
- 5 MS. LANCASTER: When he was supposedly polled, I
- 6 want. to know what he was asked.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Thank you because when I
- 8 hear-d "what were you asked," I jumped to what were you asked
- 9 at the deposition. Okay. Start again, please. It's my
- 10 fault.
- 11 BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 12 Q You don't remember being asked anything by
- 13 anybody, do you?
- 14 A I had many conversations with Becky Jo Clark about
- 15 this litigation and with Terry Jones. I hadn't recalled the
- 16 conversation about being polled on citizenship and felon,
- 17 and so on.
- 18 And subsequent to the deposition, I spoke with
- 19 Becky Jo Clark, and she chastised me, "Bob, don't you
- 20 recall. I polled everybody. Don't you remember the trouble
- 21 I was having reaching people, and I was under the gun." And
- 22 she went on and on that she had had a very difficult time.
- 23 It was a small amount of time.
- I remember that she was having trouble getting
- 25 hold of the partners. I didn't remember it was in

- 1 connection with this polling issue that she was doing.
- Q When was this polling that she was doing
- 3 supposedly taking place?
- 4 A This had to be several months ago, but I mean, in
- 5 this year.
- 6 O Approximately when?
- 7 A I don't know, April or May. I don't remember. I
- 8 don't know when the conversations or the polling took place.
- 9 Q Do you have any independent recollection of this
- 10 polling at all?
- 11 A No, I don't.
- 12 Q So basically you're changing your testimony based
- upon Ms. Clark coming to you afterwards and telling you she
- 14 did it, is that correct?
- 15 A Yes.
- 17 wouldn't you have been included in that polling effort?
- 18 A To get a call from Becky Jo Clark was not unusual
- 19 for me, and I would get calls from her on numerous things.
- If she was asking about something, it just didn't dawn upon
- 21 me that that's what it was about.
- 22 Q That was not my question.
- A All right. I'm sorry
- 24 O If the executive committee decided that they
- 25 needed to poll the partners -- you were on the executive

- 1 committee. You've testified that the litigation related
- 2 activities of the executive committee are your primary area
- 3 of expertise and that you handle them for the most part. Do
- 4 you recall that testimony? I believe it's even in your
- 5 direct written statement
- 6 A Yes.
- 7 Q Wouldn't you have been a part of any decision to
- 8 poll the partners?
- 9 A I don't know that it was a decision as opposed to
- 10 a call. Becky Jo, you do this. Bob, you do that. I don't
- 11 recall.
- Q Well, even a call saying, Becky Jo, you do this
- and, Bob, you do that would have been a discussion among the
- 14 executive committee, wouldn't it?
- 15 A To poll the partners for interrogatories?
- 16 Q You would have to have a discussion about it when
- 17 you're deciding who's going to do the polling, wouldn't you?
- 18 A I don't know whether there was a discussion. I
- don't remember anything about, you know, the discussion
- 20 whether it was -- whether Becky Jo got a call saying poll
- 21 the partners. I don't know how it came about.
- 22 Q You don't recall any conversation among yourself,
- or Mr. Jones, or Ms. Clark saying we need to poll the
- 24 partners, is that correct?
- 25 A I remember meaning to get in touch with the

- 1 partners, Becky Jo telling me and being -- how frustrating
- 2 and how under the gun she was trying to get a hold of the
- 3 partners. But I didn't remember what she was getting a hold
- 4 of the partners -- whether she was polling them about
- 5 citizenship, felon, and so on. I don't remember that.
- 6 Q And you still don't really remember it, do you?
- 7 A No, I don't.
- 8 Q You don't remember ever being personally contacted
- 9 regarding that matter?
- 10 A I don't, no. I don't specifically remember.
- 11 Q Did you every review the responses that were filed
- on behalf of Alee in this case to the Enforcement Bureau's
- 13 discovery requests?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
- 15 \mathbb{Q} Why didn't you sign the responsive pleadings in
- 16 this case?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Do you mean the discovery
- 18 responses?
- MS. LANCASTER: Yes, sir.
- THE WITNESS: As I told you, it was a toss of the
- 21 coin. It was either Terry Jones or I. I think that Becky
- Jo was going to be out of town or something.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- Q Well this is your area of expertise, right?
- 25 A Lega things, yes.

- 1 Q So wouldn't it have been more probable that
- 2 everyone would ask you to handle it.
- A I don't know. I mean, we were signing on behalf
- 4 of the partnership. This wasn't, you know, legal advise.
- 5 This is signing factual things.
- 6 Q Kind of like signing that original application?
- 7 It was just a ministerial duty as far as you were concerned
- 8 and it didn't matter who did it?
- 9 A It was one of the members of the executive
- 10 committee who are responsible. It wasn't someone like Diana
- 11 Grumer who doesn't have as much contact.
- 12 Q So it's your response that there was no
- 13 conversation among the executive committee members as to who
- was going to sign the discovery responses?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: That's a mischaracterization Of
- 16 what he said.
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay, well, I'm asking him.
- 18 JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you asked and the answer
- 19 was there was a toss of the coin and Terry Jones decided to
- 20 sign it. Isn't that your answer?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: So it was asked and answered.
- BY MS. LANCASTER:
- 24 Q Are any of the current partners aliens?
- 25 A No.

- 1 Q How do you know?
- 2 A Because Becky Jo had polled the partnership.
- Was there a document sent out --
- 4 JUDGE STEINBERG: You went through this this
- 5 morning.
- 6 MR. HILL: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 7 JUDGE STEINBERG: You did. Trust me. For that
- 8 there was --
- 9 MR. HILL: I object. Asked and answered.
- 10 MS. LANCASTER: I asked about a document being
- 11 sent out to the partners?
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You asked about -- you covered
- 13 this area like a blanket.
- MS. LANCASTER: Okay. One moment.
- 15 MR. HILL: I object, Your Honor, to switching
- 16 lawyers.
- 17 MS. LANCASTER: He's not going to ask questions of
- 18 the witness, Your Honor. He wants to --
- 19 JUDGE STEINBERG: What are you going to do?
- 20 MR. DeJESUS: I'd like to address the issue of the
- offer of proof. When we spoke yesterday of the offer of
- 22 proof, it was to establish essentially the foundation for
- 23 admitting the evidence for Terry Jones,
- 24 Terry Jones, if memory serves me correctly,
- 25 couldn't confirm nor deny that he recognized the document,

- so we at that point -- at least my understanding was that we
- 2 could establish a separate and independent basis for
- 3 admitting the evidence through another witness which is this
- 4 witness.
- 5 JUDGE STEINBERG: No. The objection was on
- 6 relevance, and it was sustained on relevance. And I said
- you could make it as an offer of proof, and that way if my
- 8 ruling was incorrect, well, the fact that you want it to be
- 9 i:n the record would be in the record. And I gave you an
- 10 opportunity
- 11 MR. DeJESUS: But the basis would have been for
- that particular witness, Mr. Terry Jones, and not this one.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, you did not say that. Why
- don't you just do what I suggested and gather the material
- 15 and --
- MR. DeJESUS: Okay.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: -- make it an exhibit, and offer
- 18 it as part of the offer of proof. But the objection was
- 19 based on relevance.
- MS. LANCASTER: I have no further questions, Your
- 21 Honor.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Off the record now, please.
- 23 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
- JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record. We will
- recess for this evening, and then we will resume at 9:00

```
1 a.m. tomorrow morning. Thank you.
```

- 2 (Whereupon, at 3:45 p.m., the hearing in the
- above-entitled matter was adjourned until October 25, 2002,
- 4 at 9:00 a.m.)
- 5 //
- 6 //
- 7 //
- 8 //
- 9 //
- 10 //
- 11 //
- 12 //
- 13 //
- 14 //
- 15 //
- 16 //
- 17 //
- 18 //
- 19 //
- 20 //
- 21 //
- 22 //
- 23 //
- 24 //
- 25 //

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE					
2						
3	DOCKET NO.: WTOD Jy					
4	CASE TITLE: ARE CEllular					
5	HEARING DATE: $10/94/60$					
6	LOCATION: FC'C' Bldg.					
7						
8	I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are					
9	contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes					
10	reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the 71					
11	<i>∓</i> _:I'					
12						
L 3						
14	Date: 10/04/02					
15						
16						
17	Official Reporter					
L8	Heritage Reporting Corporation					
L9	Suite 600					
20	1220 L Street, N. W.					
21	Washington, D. C. 20005-4018					
22						
23						
24						
5						